

CACoP Forum Meeting 62

25 February 2026, 13:30 – 15:30, via Teams

Summary Notes

Attendee	Representing
Teri Puddefoot (TP)	Forum Chair
Matthew Larreta (ML)	Forum Secretary
Anshu Choudhary (AC)	Elexon
Blane Jones (BJ)	Ofgem
Caroline Freimuller (CF)	REC, IGT UNC
Dovile Kvedyte-Corrigan (DKC)	Ofgem
Dylan Townsend (DT)	ElectraLink
Ebere Ogwuegbu (EO)	Ofgem
Georgiana Severin (GS)	SEC / IGT UNC
Tammy Meek (TM)	NESO

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting. GS advised that she was representing IGT UNC on behalf of Talia Lattimore in addition to SEC. No other apologies were formally recorded.

1. ACTIONS UPDATE

The following Actions were discussed:

Ref 0912/01 – Horizon Scanner

The Chair noted that the Horizon Scanner has not been published for over a year, prompting a discussion on whether it is still required, useful, and how it should be handled in future. They confirmed that the Terms of Reference (ToR) does not clearly require publication, nor specify a format or frequency. CF noted that the ToR refers to developing and maintaining a Horizon Scanner for multi-code impacts but agreed the publication requirement is unclear.

AC stated that during the December 2025 meeting attendees agreed that a centralised scanner adds limited value, as codes already publish their own forward views, with concerns raised about duplication and reporting burden. DT supported this view, highlighting overlap with Ofgem strategic

plans and other codes tracking, and questioned whether a consolidated scanner is required. Attendees discussed whether there was merit in linking to individual code horizon scanners.

Attendees agreed that current approach is not working well and engagement is low, with uncertainty over whether CACoP is obliged to publish a consolidated Horizon Scanner. DKC confirmed that they would seek a view from Ofgem on whether publication is required and whether links to individual scanners would suffice. The action remains open.

Ref 0912/02 – 2024 CACoP Code Survey

AC discussed the status of the 2024 CACoP Code survey, confirming that results are currently being consolidated. They noted improved response rates after reminders.

DT questioned the purpose and format of the published survey results, expressing uncertainty about its value given the timeframe from when the survey was initially completed, to the publish date. GS requested visibility of the final draft to ensure SEC results are included, citing previous issues with missing data. AC agreed to circulate the document for forum members to review no later than 28 February and provide comments, with a deadline of 06 March 2026. The results will then be sent to Talan for publication. The action remains open.

Ref 0912/03 – Chair Handover

The Chair confirmed that the Chair handover from Elexon to NESO is complete. The action is now closed.

2. OFGEM UPDATE

The Chair noted that an updated draft of the CACoP had been circulated with comments from Ofgem. DKC confirmed that Ofgem had reviewed the draft and outlined the main areas requiring amendment. CF raised concerns about references to ‘Code Managers’, noting the risk of confusion for existing code arrangements. DKC confirmed that these references represent current Code Managers, not future licensed Code Managers. DKC agreed that including a footnote to clarify the position would suffice.

Attendees discussed survey obligations under Principle 12, including the proposed move from conducting surveys every year to every two years, with DKC explaining this reflected low engagement and high effort under the annual approach. There was confusion among attendees over the use of the term ‘biannual’, with DT believing this represents twice per year and other attendees believing this represents every two years. Forum members will review the CACoP Principles and clarify the correct terminology.

DKC and EO explained that Ofgem’s recent decision on data best practice requires changes to Principle 17 and the introduction of a new, standalone Principle 18. EO stressed that Principles 17 and 18 should not be merged, as Principle 18 creates a distinct obligation to publish, and combining them would not reflect Ofgem’s published decision. Attendees noted that earlier drafting pre-dated the final decision and agreed to update the draft to reflect Ofgem’s comments and decisions.

The revised draft will be circulated for review and then progressed through Panel input, stakeholder consultation, and final submission to Ofgem for approval.

3. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Survey results

The Chair confirmed that AC would finalise the consolidated survey results document and circulate it to the forum, with members asked to provide comments by 06 March 2026, after which the results would be sent to Talan for publication.

The Chair then summarised agreed positions from earlier discussion, including that surveys would move to an every other year cadence, and that a clearer timeline would be brought back to a future meeting to support preparation for the next survey cycle.

Horizon Scanner

The Chair confirmed that, before the CACoP Forum makes any decisions on a future approach to the Horizon Scanner, DVC will check whether CACoP is required to publish the Horizon Scanner in a specific format.

Forum regularity

Attendees agreed to move from bi-monthly to monthly Forum meetings, with the understanding that meetings will be cancelled if there are no agenda items. Attendees agreed to hold the meeting on a Tuesday during the third week of each month.

CACoP Website Calendar

AC highlighted that some cross-code and industry meetings were missing from the CACoP website calendar. CF clarified that each code is responsible for maintaining its own calendar entries and advised AC to contact the CACoP website administrators, offering to follow up internally if needed.

Ofgem

DKC highlighted a newly published Ofgem consultation on 'Proposed indicators of Ofgem performance and the health of the energy sector indicators' and encouraged CACoP Forum members to provide feedback before the closing date of 07 April 2026. A link to the consultation can be found [here](#).

MEETING CLOSE

The Chair thanked attendees for their engagement and confirmed that minutes and actions will be circulated as soon as possible after the meeting.